UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/666,733 | 09/19/2003 | Robert L. Bratzler | C1037.70051US00 | 6968 |
| 23628 7590 04/30/2007 WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | LE, EMILY M | |
| BOSTON, MA | . 02210-2206 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1648 | |
| | | \$ | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | • | • | 04/30/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1439
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|--|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/666,733 | 09/19/2003 | Robert L. Bratzler | C1037.70051US00 | 6968 |
| 7590 06/01/2006 Patrick R.H. Waller, Ph.D. | | OIPE WAY | EXAMINER | |
| | | | LE, EMILY M | |
| 600 Atlantic Av Boston, MA 0 | 2210 | 10N 0 a 5000 -/ | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | \c_ 1011 0 101 E | 1648 | |
| | | The second second | DATE MAILED: 00/01/200 | 6 |
| | , | A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR | | |
| • | • | | <i>C.</i> | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/666,733 | BRATZLER ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| · | Emily Le | 1648 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (8) Mil , cause the application to become | IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status . | · | • | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M | larch 2004. | • | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This | · <u> </u> | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C | .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 62-69 is/are pending in the applicatio | n. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | wn from consideration. | • | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | • | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 62-69 are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | 9 r . | • | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | | to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abey | rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | tion is required if the drawi | ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attach | ed Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: | n priority under 35 U.S.C | . § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Burea | | and the second second | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | t of the centiled copies n | ot received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | as 🗖 Atlantic | of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/666,733

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: a CpG immunostimulatory nucleic acid; a T-rich immunostimulatory nucleic acid; and a poly-G immunostimulatory nucleic acid.
- 2. The species are independent or distinct because the species have different structural features and requirement. Specifically, the structural feature for a poly-G immunostimulatory nucleic acid is a poly-G motif; a CpG immunostimulatory nucleic acid is a CpG motif; and a T-rich immunostimulatory nucleic acid is a T-rich motif. Each of these different motifs differs from one another.
- 3. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 62 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/666,733

Art Unit: 1648

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 5. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 6. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/666,733

Art Unit: 1648

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emily Le whose telephone number is (571) 272 0903. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce R. Campell can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Emily the 5/16/04 Patent Examiner Art Unit 1648

Occanization IC 1 600 Bidg. Room UNITED STATISS PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE







REJURN TO SENDER NO SUCH NUMBER UNABLE TO FORUARD

山 H X H N

40417-08645-01-39 her blander bellem blander blander blander BC: 22313145050

02210+2211-9923030450

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450 If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

P.O. Box 1450

Official Business Penalty For Private Use, \$300